Al-Qadisiyah Journal for Administrative and Economic Sciences ISSN$_{Online}$: 2312-9883
QJAE, Volume 28, Issue 2 (2026) ISSN$_{Print}$: 1816-9171
DOI:/ /doi.org/10.33916/qjae.2026.02121134

Strategic Change: Concepts, Models, Challenges, and Organizational Outcomes

Aseel Musa Jasim Al-Tamimi
Department of Public Administration
College of Administration and
Economics University of Baghdad
aseel.m@comed.uobaghdad.edu.iq

Received: 04/04/2026
Accepted: 15/06/2026
Available online: 30/06/2026

Corresponding Author: Aseel Musa Jasim Al-Tamimi

Abstract: The realignment of an organization's framework/toolkit in response to both internal and external changes, allows businesses to respond effectively to the shifting needs of both. The four interrelated areas of ideas, models of change, issues, and organizational outcomes work together to form a holistic frame around a single concept. At the beginning of the research, there is a distinction drawn between strategic transformation and operational transformation in order to highlight the importance of maintaining a competitive advantage to counteract potential threats such as: globalization, volatile markets, technological disruptions and things that arise from within. This emphasizes how important strategic transformation is in order to navigate through ambiguity and create sustainable growth over time. The subsequent discussion of strategic transformation models highlights essential concepts/attributes such as; traditional vs. emergent change models and transformational vs. incremental approaches. Each organization needs to have a strategy added based upon their specific needs/situation, rather than having a one-size-fits-all solution. The next section of the study provides insight into several common issues that can arise during implementation. Some examples include; ineffective communication, employee resistance to changes, limited employee involvement and alignment between company values and required behaviours. The study indicates that to effectively address these challenges, organizations need structured change management approaches supported by; effective communication, education and collaboration among employees at all levels. Research findings indicate the benefits associated with successful strategic change are Long Term Viability, Operational Effectiveness, Innovation, A More Flexible Workforce, and Market Positioning. Further, it notes that Strategic Change Is A Continuing Organizational Capability Instead Of A Single Project And Requires To Occur. These findings indicate the potential for companies to achieve measurable performance improvement and to enhance their resiliency in an ever-changing environment by combining strong theoretical concepts with flexible models while effectively managing execution problems.

Keywords: Strategic Change, Organizational Outcomes, Resistance to Change, Change Management, Change Models.

1. Introduction:

Strategic change has been a major component of managing an organisation for some time now; this is largely due to ongoing shifts within the marketplace, rapid advances in technology, increased competition, and shifting customer demands. Organisations need to act quickly and innovatively in an ever changing climate and cannot count on relying solely on previous experiences or established norms as an adequate means of achieving long term success. To effectively manage change and remain competitive, organisations must develop their strategies, utilise all available resources, optimise their operations, be proactive by introducing creative approaches to implementing change. Evidence indicates that successful change requires organisations to make substantive changes to how they respond to challenging situations and how those changes influence their ability to successfully create a competitive advantage, sustain competitiveness over time, and perform at the highest levels over time. This phenomenon has been documented both in historical and current literature. Strategic change includes planned organisational change as well as unintentional changes resulting from external pressures.This includes things like business culture, mission, vision, organizational structure, activity scope, and asset allocation. Diverse analytical viewpoints—rational, learning-based, cognitive, and temporal—must be combined to provide a more thorough knowledge in order to completely comprehend the dynamics of these transitions.

Changes in an organization's external environment give rise to strategic change, which requires firms to recognize, capitalize on, and adjust to these changes in order to stay competitive. In order to successfully and quickly adapt to changes, this process requires that the organization's strategy be in line with its core competencies (Nummela, 2019:9). Organizations must make strategic changes in order to gain and maintain a competitive advantage in the fast-paced business environment of today. Such changes are unavoidable and essential to organizational operations, according to several strategic change specialists (Kipesha & Koech, 2020:2). Organizations can manage dynamic circumstances and maintain a sustained competitive edge by effectively managing strategic change (Wei & Zhang, 2020:811). Organizations frequently make strategic change to secure or improve their competitive advantages in order to prosper in a changing external environment. Particularly in the digital era, the ability to make prompt and successful strategic change decisions is becoming more widely acknowledged as a major source of competitive advantage (Wu, 2021:153).

2. Research Problem

Any organization's ability to adapt to its surroundings is essential to its survival, and this adaptability can only be accomplished when the organization is able to gain acceptance in the right setting. Many businesses want to acquire a portion of this environment because it is appealing to a group of resources. As a result, the decision to acquire a portion of this environment is made solely based on the organization's capacity to meet the needs of that advantageous environment. A study difficulty is that while many organizations see the need for strategic change, they are unable to generate consistent results. These are typical causes of strategic change failure, along with insufficient ideas, low urgency, unclear vision, weak leadership, poor culture, poor communication, employee resistance, or a lack of institutional support.

The main research issue could be expressed as follows in order to diminish resistance to change and achieve adaptability to a changing environment: How can businesses handle strategic change in an efficient manner?

3. The Importance of the Research

A key idea in contemporary strategic management, strategic change provides insightful information on competitive realignment, organizational renewal, and adaptability. The scholarly value of this work is supported by this viewpoint. The research's importance stems from its capacity to benefit the community and the organization under investigation. This is accomplished by:

3.1. Emphasizing the systems that deal with the organization's shortcomings and help start the change process.

3.2. Analyzing the importance of change in relation to the organization's main goal of efficiently servicing different stakeholders.

3.3. Determining the areas where opposition to change is most common and determining whether this resistance stems from personal viewpoints or issues related to the organization's larger objectives.

3.4. Providing guidance to the organization on how to utilize feedback effectively, thereby maximizing opportunities for growth and investment.

4. Research Objectives

This study aims to accomplish the following objectives, guided by the intention to analyze and address the identified research challenges:

4.1. Assess the organization’s current position and examine the mechanisms employed in implementing strategic changes.

4.2. Identify actionable plans to facilitate the effective execution of the strategic change process.

4.3. Develop implementation strategies for necessary organizational changes to achieve the desired goals and outcomes.

4.4. Propose mechanisms to ensure the strategic change process is executed comprehensively and effectively.

5. Research Methodology:

This study employed a descriptive-analytical methodology. This method works well for researching intricate organizational problems. It entails gathering, analyzing, and contrasting literature. The study looked at the concepts, models, and outcomes of peer-reviewed research on strategic change. After that, it constructed a framework that connected definitions, motivations, phases, challenges, and results.

Chapter 1: The Conceptual Framework of Strategic Change

1. The Concept of Strategic Change

Changes that effect an organization`s number one priorities and path are called strategic adjustments. Vision, mission, paintings scope, assets, organization, and values are all blanketed in this. According to the literature, intentional change isn't the same as operational change in phrases of effect, length, and depth. Performance on the individual or technique degree is regularly greater through operational change . Strategic change, on the opposite hand, transforms the whole organization to cope with new challenges (Rajagopalan & Spreitzer, 1997). According to latest research, diverse method adjustments have an effect on an organization's time horizon, initiative order, and destiny responsiveness. They have an effect at the content material of choices as well. Time is of the essence. It determines while change starts, how fast it occurs, and the way early reasons have an effect on results (Kunisch et al., 2017). Strategic changeentails the allocation of an organization`s assets to acquire its destiny goals, making it a important issue in making sure long-time period performance (Helfat & Martin, 2015). However, it's miles regularly perceived as a tremendous undertaking for executives because of the inherent anxiety among introducing modern thoughts and keeping their practicality (Back et al., 2020).

The manner of strategic changeshapes vital decisions, which includes figuring out the important assets and competencies for operational success. Furthermore, it defines the limits of an organization`s increase potential, at the least till the product-marketplace scope undergoes reevaluation as a part of strategic changeefforts. Consequently, the cappotential to redefine and adapt the product-marketplace scope is critical for maintaining each long-time period overall performance and the increase trajectory of organizations (Süsi & Lukason, 2020). As strategic changewill become an increasing number of prevalent, the focal point of control manipulate studies has broadened to discover how formal structures are applied all through intervals of excessive change. In particular, interest has been directed towards knowledge how control manipulate structures can act as enablers and drivers of strategic change (Chenhall & Moers, 2015). Organizational systems, with their hooked up processes and protocols, regularly make a contribution to entrenched inertia, making variation and change greater challenging (Simons & Dávila, 2020:1). However, withinside the context of strategic changeinside an employer, diverse diffused procedures advocated with the aid of using specialists and pupils may be employed. One of the maximum extensively identified and powerful frameworks for facilitating such transitions is the Lewin version. There are three exciting phases to this strategy: "unfreezing," "changing," and "refreezing." " It compares the process of change to reshaping a block of ice, suggesting that the old structure must first "melt" before it can form a new shape, which represents breaking down or rethinking existing systems. In the meantime, a planned change that affects more than one company aims to match its objectives with larger organizational structures and social trends. Effectively handling this outside change means using possibilities to include fresh resources and improve talents during the rehabilitation process as well as addressing the growing complexity and ambiguity brought on by fresh difficulties.

Managing this dynamic entails balancing the tensions inherent in innovation at the same time as making sure sustainable evolution (Van Assche et al., 2021:11).

Strategic change includes a planned initiative via way of means of senior managers, ensuing in full-size changes to the corporation`s center activities (Gioia et al., 1994; Canato et al., 2013:1744). Successful implementation of such changenecessitates a change withinside the meanings personnel partner with each the corporation and its surrounding environment. Recognizing the significance of this dynamic has pushed researchers to take a look at how strategic change unfolds thru "sense-making processes" (Weiser, 2021:815). Strategic change may be described as modifications to the corporation`s scope, allocation of resources, or techniques for aggressive advantage, permitting it to reply successfully to evolving environmental demands. Scholars have recognized 3 not unusualplace assumptions associated with strategic extrade. First, it's miles motivated via way of means of managers who form the technique thru their interpretations and manage over organizational decision-making. Second, strategic change is frequently regarded as a corrective degree designed to cope with imbalances or shifts in ecological equilibrium.

Strategic change is a technique that starts with initiation, brought about with the aid of using the popularity of a catalyst for change and the selection to pursue strategic adjustments, accompanied with the aid of using its implementation (Belling et al., 2021:384). This idea has been considerably studied, especially withinside the context of rising economies, because it serves as a crucial mechanism for corporations to preserve their competitiveness amidst environmental uncertainties. The fast moving organizational and financial shifts in those areas area vast strain on businesses, compelling them to cope with whether or not and the way they need to adapt to risky and dynamic situations via the adoption of strategic change (Choi et al., 2021:255).

The researcher considers that developing a strategy is the method used to bring about change by developing an understanding of the strategic intent behind a company's activities and then evaluating those activities in order to create a framework for achieving goals in the future.

2. Characteristics of Strategic Change

The majority of an organization's operations are impacted by strategic change, which includes a number of crucial aspects. It is by its very nature long-term, focusing on changing the future rather than solving current problems. Senior leadership is crucial to this kind of change since top-level managers are in charge of establishing relationships, articulating the organization's vision, allocating resources, and setting priorities. People and culture must also be carefully taken into account for strategic change to be successful. Setting clear objectives, encouraging high levels of employee participation, and ensuring alignment with the cultural values of the organization are essential to the success of tasks within a company. Heracleous and Langham noted in 1996 that the success of transformation projects depends much on the culture of a firm. Regular review and improvement of an organization's common beliefs and fundamental values are important for long-term development. Particularly helpful for identifying regions needing modification or improvement are instruments including the cultural network. Staying relevant and getting a competitive edge depends on strategic change—that is, change meant to improve resource management so that the company aligns with its evolving surroundings. Many times this entails considering the primary industries, goods, and services the business concentrates on. Rooted in open structures theories of corporation, Strategic change has end up an critical technique for addressing outside demanding situations or seizing new marketplace possibilities, as mentioned with the aid of using Bentley and Kehoe (2018). The attitudes of control closer to changecontrol and their degree of agree with withinside the corporation underscore the significance of fostering self belief withinside the changeprocedure. Research with the aid of using Komodromos et al. (2019) underscores that organizational agree with is a vital driving force of worker commitment, which in flip helps worthwhile enterprise operations and complements usual productivity. Furthermore, enhancing techniques has full-size implications for organizational boom and achievement, as mentioned with the aid of using Ng and Kee (2018). Beyond producing possibilities for advancement, efficaciously controlled Strategic change encourages personnel to collect new skills, which fuels innovation. The adoption of Strategic change turns into mainly critical whilst agencies put in force new technologies. Such improvements empower personnel to excel of their roles and make contributions to the wider achievement of the corporation as a whole (Rusmardiana et al., 2020).

3. Types of Strategic Change

The number one kinds of Strategic change are proactive and reactive. Proactive change includes expecting capacity dangers and enforcing measures to save you them earlier than they occur. In contrast, reactive change is pushed via way of means of inner or outside demanding situations which includes negative performance, improved competition, crises, or sudden shifts in generation or regulations. Strategic change additionally varies in its technique and intensity. Progressive change includes making incremental changes over time, even as radical change calls for fast and essential differences that regulate the organization`s shape and operations. Müller and Kunisch (2018) speak the continued debate over whether or not strategic modifications are broadly speaking pushed via way of means of control selections or outside environmental elements. Increasingly, a hybrid technique, which mixes each inner and outside influences, is being applied to provide an explanation for strategic decision-making processes. The drivers of Strategic change may be labeled as both inner or outside. External forces encompass technological breakthroughs, evolving purchaser preferences, marketplace liberalization, the emergence of recent competitors, monetary downturns, crises, or transferring regulatory landscapes. Internal issues usually result from bad company performance, outdated systems, decreased efficacy, less creativity, or loss of competitive advantage. However, if well handled, difficult circumstances or economic downturns can provide important possibilities for significant strategic changes from time to time (Bohman and Johansson Lindfors, 1998). In these circumstances, businesses may act instead of merely responding defensively. Whipp, Rosenfeld, and Pettigrew (1989) found that successful companies show amazing flexibility in both stable and predictable surroundings. Organizational flexibility—which is defined as changing decisions, using resources wisely, and keeping in line with strategic goals even as things change—drives adaptability. Eppink (1978) emphasizes the importance of adaptive flexibility for successfully managing complexity and uncertainty effectively. Similarly, Volberda (1997) reinforces this notion, suggesting that groups running in fluid and unsure markets have to stability agility with manage to be successful.

It takes extra than only a choice to be successful to obtain strategic change. The commercial enterprise have to put together to be speedy and adaptable. Flexibility is important for long-time period plan changes.

Diagram 1: General Sequence of Strategic Change

External and internal environment ↓
Identifying the strategic gap ↓
Building a sense of need for change ↓
Formulating the change vision and direction ↓
Redesigning strategy, structure, and culture ↓
Implementing strategic initiatives ↓
Evaluating, consolidating, and improving

Research demonstrates that intentional change does now no longer start with execution. Diagnosis and conviction-constructing are the primary steps. After then, it shifts to movement and redesign. Embedding the change at some stage in the employer is the very last step.

Chapter Two: Strategic Change Management Models, Challenges, and Outcomes

2.1. Models of Strategic Change Management

Organizations can navigate the procedure of change with the assist of prepared frameworks presented through strategic change fashions. Although the scope and consciousness of those fashions vary, all of them pressure that change is a planned, slow procedure as opposed to a unmarried choice. The following 3 fashions are maximum pertinent to strategic change:

2.1.1. Lewin’s Three-Stage Model

Kurt Lewin`s 1947 version gives an important framework for information the dynamics of change. He emphasizes that disrupting the present equilibrium inside an corporation is critical to foster new patterns. The version is constructed on 3 number one stages. Refreezing concentrates on maintaining the adjustments and embedding them into the corporation's lifestyle and day by day practices. Unfreezing involves breaking down installed habits, thinking assumptions, and difficult the repute quo. Changing specializes in introducing and integrating new behaviors, structures, and processes. This framework underscores that attaining lasting change calls for a planned method concerning the elimination of antique norms, the established order of recent ones, and the reinforcement of those shifts, as opposed to depending entirely on top-down directives.

2.1.2. Kotter’s Eight-Step Process Model

In 1995, John P. Kotter multiplied upon Lewin`s foundational paintings via way of means of introducing a greater detailed, management-targeted approach. His studies found out that change efforts frequently fail because of poorly completed or left out stages. Kotter's version includes 8 important steps: setting up a experience of urgency, constructing a devoted guiding coalition, growing a compelling imaginative and prescient and strategy, speaking the imaginative and prescient effectively, empowering broad-primarily based totally motion via way of means of doing away with barriers, attaining short-time period wins, consolidating profits to pressure similarly extrade, and embedding new techniques into the organizational tradition. This framework sticks out with inside the realm of strategic change for its consciousness at the pivotal function of management in orchestrating efforts, motivating teams, fostering communication, and embedding improvements with inside the tradition to make certain sustained success.

2.1.3. Readiness-Implementation Link Model

Applied studies highlights that approach change entails key stages: making ready for change and executing it. Oakland and Tanner (2007) emphasize that a success change connects strategic targets to operational enhancements via absolutely described phases. The effectiveness of the reform drops when this link is weak. Phillips and Klein (2022) developed basic components for good change management based on this concept: involvement, communication, training, a clear vision, and leadership support. Their strategy implies that being ready as a company and properly executing a change plan has far more influence on getting good outcomes even if the design of the change plan is somewhat significant.

2.2. Challenges to Strategic Change Implementation

Despite structured models, strategic change faces recurring challenges that can undermine outcomes. The most critical challenges include:

2.2.1. Organizational Culture

One of the primary motives why organizational reform initiatives fail is the forget of tradition. According to Heracleous and Langham (1996), tradition is an interpretive framework that impacts how employees understand change as both an possibility or a challenge. It is not possible to effectively contain a brand new technique with out addressing values, beliefs, strength dynamics, and praise systems. As a result, it's far critical to suit tradition with strategy, which necessitates paying near interest to factors like language, symbols, narrative, and management philosophy throughout the transition process.

2.2.2. Time and Pace of Change

According to Kunisch, Bartunek, Mueller, and Huy (2017), timing, speed, and series are important interpretive elements in figuring out success. The system as an entire can be substantially impacted through the momentum generated withinside the early levels of change. Even if the objectives are clear, implementing changes too quickly or too slowly, particularly when they are not in line with organizational and human readiness, may result in failure.

2.2.3. Resistance to Change

Rather than being only behavioral defiance, employee resistance should be seen as a diagnostic indicator. According to Erida and Lotfi (2021), resistance can take the shape of ambiguity, implementation delays, a lack of strong commitment, or attempts to thwart organizational change. Fostering open communication, guaranteeing active involvement, offering training, obtaining leadership support, and setting clear goals are all essential to effectively managing opposition. When handled skillfully, opposition can provide insightful feedback that helps improve the process of change. Organizational change is significantly influenced by performance context and environmental volatility.

Although implementing change does not always result in improved performance, Parnell (1998) noted that low-performing businesses are more likely than high-performing ones to initiate strategic changes. In a similar vein, Whipp, Rosenfeld, and Pettigrew (1989) stressed that in order to prevent stagnation, older, more established organizations need change just as much as younger ones. Furthermore, Bohman and Johansson Lindfors (1998) noted that strategic repositioning might enhance an organization's chances of survival during economic downturns, indicating that the larger environmental context is crucial in determining how change initiatives turn out.

2.3. Organizational Outcomes of Strategic Change

The results that strategic change produces are what surely make it sizeable. Organizations can realise sizeable blessings while frameworks are efficiently applied and demanding situations are addressed. Among those benefits are greater body of workers adaptability, long-time period viability, improved possibilities for innovation, progressed operational efficiency, and a much better aggressive position. Both Lewin's and Kotter's theories on managing change stress the importance of incorporating new actions into the culture of the organization to ensure long-lasting outcomes. In similar fashion, the Readiness-Implementation framework emphasizes how well success will occur depends on sound execution and how the organization is working together cohesively. Thus, for strategic change, consider it to be a continuum whereby it links theory to actual improvement in performance.

Chapter Three: Results and recommendations

3.1. Results of the Study

3.1.1 Addressing client needs consistently is a key factor in initiating the strategic transformation processes necessary to develop the changes required for an organization to succeed. However, executing strategies for transformation can often be complex and challenging due to differing opinions among people and differing set of priorities. In order to ensure equity and fairness in these outcomes, there are sometimes procedures (i.e. quotas) put in place to help resolve these conflicts.

3.1.2. The term strategic transformation refers to a major change that modifies how an organization operates compared to simply making an operational adjustment. Transformation changes must be made simultaneously to an organizational mission, organizational structure, organizational culture, and organization resource allocation. Empirical evidence suggests that transformation is not easily categorized. The transformation process is complicated by many elements interacting on multiple levels, including socio-political pressures, types and effectiveness of leadership, attitudes, organizational learning capabilities, and timing. All of these factors contribute to making it difficult for individuals to define a strategic transformation based on their personal perspective and/or viewpoint.

3.1.3. The organization's culture is a major influence on how well strategic change initiatives succeed. Employee attitudes towards the changes and their readiness to accept them are critical to the success of any transformation. Furthermore, while performance problems may drive organizations to investigate and implement changes, long-term and positive results only come from having a systematic and reflective approach to the change process.

3.1.4. Innovative theoretical frameworks developed by scholars, including Lewin and Kotter, continue to provide invaluable insights into the complexities of organizational change. These models outline the necessary steps in the change process, provide guidance on effective leadership styles, and define the behaviour patterns that are necessary for success.

3.2. Recommendations from the Research

3.2.1. Engage the consumers actively throughout the entire change process, especially during both the development and implementation phases.

3.2.2. Emphasize the long-range goals of the organization as opposed to the short-range goals, as short-range objectives might impede long-range success and lead to failure.

Sure! Please provide the text to be paraphrased.

3.2.3. Provide frequent workshops to train employees on the importance of the strategic changes, focusing on the importance of business resilience and adaptability in a competitive environment.

3.2.4. Building strong relationships based on integrity, respect and trust is essential to creating a strong company culture. Encourage management and employees to work together as one team and foster an atmosphere of support and production within the workplace.

3.2.5. Foster communication between management and employees by implementing an open-door policy that provides a way for prompt resolution of issues, the ability to prevent issues from getting worse, and encouraging employees to bring forth innovative ideas and improvements to processes.

3.2.6. Ensure all members of the organization understand the need for change before officially starting any project. This will remove the notion that these changes are driven by only senior management.

3.2.7. Assess current values, incentives, language used by management and communication styles to ensure they align with the goals of cultural change being pursued.

3.2.8. Employees will be more prepared for change and will exhibit less resistance to change through the use of inclusive engagement strategies, comprehensive training programs, and continuous internal communications.

4. Conclusion

Planned change is now more and more crucial for institutions' success given the unpredictable and quick character of current surroundings. Once considered a minor administrative matter, a problem is now a major strategic approach for increasing company efficiency. Effective change management depends on a careful, all-encompassing plan that prioritises major, long-lasting developments before arbitrary decisions or short-term fixes. Emphasizing the importance of developing a strong sense of urgency, John P. Kotter lays the basis for effective organizational transformation. This first push helps to create a clear and compelling vision, allow people to act boldly, and include the transformation into the culture of the business. Kurt Lewin's three-phase change approach—unfreezing, moving, and refreezing—also offers a structured guidance. This approach guarantees that change projects survive by means of deliberate, slow advancement. Many research on various change initiatives have shown that bad leadership, poor planning, and poor conflict management account for their failures. This demonstrates that seeing all changes from the broader picture of being part of an overall plan for the corporation is vital. Unlike a simple change in process, true strategic change allows for improved performance, increased resilience to react to external pressures, and the ability to remain competitive over the long term. There are many studies supporting these concepts related to leadership and organizational change as well, including Kotter's landmark research on modern-day change management.

References

Back, P., Rosing, K., Kraft, P. S., Dickler, T. A., & Bausch, A. (2020). CEOs' temporal focus, firm strategic change, and performance: Insights from a paradox perspective. European Management Journal.

Belling, M., Pidun, U., & zu Knyphausen-Aufseß, D. (2021). Unbundling Strategic Change in Family Firms: the Influence of Familiness on the Strategic Change Process. Schmalenbach Journal of Business Research, 1-31.

Bentley, F. S., & Kehoe, R. R. (2018). Give Them Some Slack—They're Trying to Change! The Benefits of Excess Cash, Excess Employees, and Increased Human Capital in the Strategic Change Context. Academy of Management Journal.

Bohman, H., & Johansson Lindfors, M.-B. (1998). Management for change: On strategic change during recession. Journal of Business Research, 41(1), 57–70.

Chenhall, R., & Moers, F. (2015). The role of innovation in the evolution of management accounting and its integration into management control. Accounting, Organizations and Society, 47, 1–13.

Choi, S., Liu, H., Yin, J., Qi, Y., & Lee, J. Y. (2021). The effect of political turnover on firms' strategic change in the emerging economies: The moderating role of political connections and financial resources. Journal of Business Research, 137, 255–266.

Eppink, D. J. (1978). Planning for strategic flexibility. Long Range Planning, 11(4), 9–15.

Errida, A., & Lotfi, B. (2021). The determinants of organizational change management success: Literature review and case study. International Journal of Engineering Business Management, 13, 1–15.

Gioia, D. A. and Chittipeddi, K. (1991). 'Sensemaking and sensegiving in strategic change initiation'. Strategic Management Journal, 12, 433–48.

Helfat, C. E., & Martin, J. A. (2015). Dynamic managerial capabilities: Review and assessment of managerial impact on strategic change. Journal of Management, 41(5), 1281– 1312.

Heracleous, L., & Langham, B. (1996). Strategic change and organizational culture at Hay Management Consultants. Long Range Planning, 29(4), 485–494.

Komodromos, M., Halkias, D., & Harkiolakis, N. (2019). Managers' perceptions of trust in the workplace in times of strategic change: The cases of Cyprus, Greece and Romania. EuroMed Journal of Business.

Kunisch, S., Bartunek, J. M., Mueller, J., & Huy, Q. N. (2017). Time in strategic change research. Academy of Management Annals, 11(2), 1005–1064.

Lewin, K. (1947). Frontiers in group dynamics: II. Channels of group life; social planning and action research. Human Relations, 1(2), 143–153.

Maár, K. (2019). DEVELOPMENT OF A STRATEGIC CHANGE PROGRAM FOR AN ORGANIZATION OPERATING IN THE INTERNATIONAL MARKET. Актуальные проблемы авиации и космонавтики, 3.

Muller, J., & Kunisch, S. (2018). Central perspectives and debates in strategic change research. International Journal of Management Reviews, 20(2), 457–482.

Ng, H. S., & Kee, D. M. H. (2018). The core competence of successful owner-managed SMEs. Management Decision, 56(1), 252-272.

Parnell, J. A. (1998). Performance's influence on strategic change: A longitudinal assessment. Scandinavian Journal of Management, 14(1–2), 19–36.

Rajagopalan, N., & Spreitzer, G. M. (1997). Toward a theory of strategic change: A multi-lens perspective and integrative framework. Academy of Management Review, 22(1), 48–79.

Rusmardiana,A., Rusmawan,A., Anam,H., Ariawan,& WAFIROTIN, K. Z. (2020). Can the Resources Acquisition Act act as a Bridge between Intellectual Capital, Strategic Change and the Firm's Financial Performance?-Evidence from the Telecom Sector of Indonesia. International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change, 11(6), 535-552.

Simons, R., & Dávila, A. (2020). How Top Managers Use the Entrepreneurial Gap to Drive Strategic Change. European Accounting Review, 1–27.

Süsi, V., & Lukason, O. (2020). New member in the boardroom and subsequent strategic change in the product-market scope of the firm. Review of International Business and Strategy, 30(3), 399–419.

Van Assche, K., Gruezmacher, M., Vodden, K., Gibson, R., & Deacon, L. (2021). Reinvention paths and reinvention paradox: Strategic change in Western Newfoundland communities. Futures, 128, 102713.

Volberda, H. W. (1997). Building flexible organizations for fast-moving markets. Long Range Planning, 30(2), 169–183.

Weiser, A. K. (2021). The role of substantive actions in sensemaking during strategic change. Journal of Management Studies, 58(3), 815-848.

Whipp, R., Rosenfeld, R., & Pettigrew, A. (1989). Managing strategic change in a mature business. Long Range Planning, 22(6), 92–99.